

Appl. No. 10/751,362  
Docket No. AA611  
Amdt. dated May 7, 2007  
Reply to Office Action mailed on April 5, 2006  
Customer No. 27752

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
MAY 07 2007

## REMARKS

### Claim Status

Claims 1, 2, 4, 10, and 11 are pending in the present application. Claim 1 is amended. No additional claims fee is believed to be due.

### Rejection Under 35 USC §102 Over Pargass

Claims 1, 2, 4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Pargass. This rejection is traversed in light of Applicants' amendment to Claim 1. Specifically, Pargass does not teach inkjet printing. Further, the printing technology taught by Pargass (*i.e.*, utilizing master roll(s)) is incompatible with online printing as claimed by Applicants.

### Rejection Under 35 USC §103(a) Over Pargass in view of Stavrulov

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Pargass in view of Stavrulov. This rejection is traversed for the same reasons mentioned above.


### Conclusion

This response represents an earnest effort to place the present application in proper form and to distinguish the invention as claimed from the applied reference(s). In view of the foregoing, entry of the amendment(s) presented herein, reconsideration of this application, and allowance of the pending claim(s) are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By

  
Richard L. Alexander  
Registration No. 52,463  
(513) 634-1602

Date: May 7, 2007  
Customer No. 27752  
(Amendment-Response to Office Action.doc)  
Revised 11/17/2006